1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON		
10	AT TAC	OMA	
11	ANDREW STRICK,		
12	Plaintiff,	CASE NO. C13-6078 BHS-JRC	
13	v.	ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFF TO FILE AN AMENDED	
14	WASHINGTON STATE PATROL,	COMPLAINT	
15	Defendant.		
16	This 42 U.S.C. §1983 civil rights matter has been referred to the undersigned Magistrate		
17			
18	MJR 3, and MJR 4.		
19	The Court has reviewed plaintiff's complai	nt. Plaintiff's complaint is deficient because	
20	0 plaintiff names only the Washington State Patrol as a defendant and because plaintiff does not		
21			
22			
23	defendant must be a person acting under the color of state law; and (2) the person's conduct must		
24			

have deprived plaintiff of rights, privileges or immunities secured by the constitution or laws of the United States. Parratt v. Taylor, 451 U.S. 527, 535, (1981) (overruled in part on other grounds); Daniels v. Williams, 474 U.S. 327, 330-31, (1986)P; and (3) causation See Mt. Healthy 3 City School Dist. Bd. of Educ. v. Doyle, 429 U.S. 274, 286-87, (1977); Flores v. Pierce, 617 F.2d 1386, 1390-91 (9th Cir. 1980), cert. denied, 449 U.S. 875, (1980). When a plaintiff fails to 5 6 allege or establish one of the three elements, his complaint must be dismissed. That plaintiff 7 may have suffered harm, even if due to another's negligent conduct does not in itself necessarily demonstrate an abridgment of constitutional protections. Davidson v. Cannon, 474 U.S. 344, 8 106 S. Ct. 668 (1986). Vague and conclusory allegations of official participation in civil rights 10 violations are not sufficient to withstand a motion to dismiss. *Pena v. Gardner*, 976 F.2d 469, 11 471 (9th Cir. 1992). 12 Neither states nor state officials acting in their official capacities are "persons" for purposes of 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Will v. Michigan Dept. of State Police, 491 U.S. 58, 71 (1989). 13 14 Section 1983 claims against states, therefore, are legally frivolous. See Jackson v. Arizona, 885 15 F.2d 639, 641 (9th Cir. 1989). This rule applies equally to state agencies. See Kaimowitz v. 16 Board of Trustees of the Univ. of Ill., 951 F.2d 765, 767 (7th Cir. 1991); Johnson v. Rodriguez, 17 943 F.2d 104, 108 (1st Cir. 1991). A governmental agency that is an arm of the state is not a "person" for purposes of § 1983. See Howlett v. Rose, 496 U.S. 356, 365 (1990); Flint v. 18 19 Dennison, 488 F.3d 816, 824-25 (9th Cir. 2007). 20 The Court orders that plaintiff file an amended complaint curing the defects noted above. 21 Plaintiff's amended complaint will act as a complete substitute for the original and not as a 22 supplement. Plaintiff's amended complaint must be filed on or before February 7, 2014 or the 23 24

1	Court will recommend dismissing this action for failure to obey a Court order and failure to	
2	prosecute.	
3	Dated this 8 <sup>th</sup> day of January, 2014.	
4	Though water	
5	J. Richard Creatura	
6	United States Magistrate Judge	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li></ul>		
22		
<ul><li>23</li><li>24</li></ul>		
4+		